

Assessing the Web Site Ratings

| | Underdeveloped | Competent | Well Developed |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Identifies Relevant Evidence | Few ideas are relevant to the criteria where they are placed. | Many ideas are relevant to the criteria where they are placed. | All ideas are relevant to the criteria where they are placed. |
| Includes Important Points | Virtually no important points for judging credibility are identified for each criterion. | Approximately one important point for judging credibility is identified for each criterion. | Two or more important points for judging credibility are identified for each criterion. |
| Justifies Ratings | The rating for each criterion is not justified by the available evidence. | The rating for each criterion is generally somewhat justified by the available evidence. | The rating for each criterion seems completely justified by the available evidence. |

Comments:

Adapted from Liz Austrom et al., *Using Electronic Information and Resources* (Vancouver, BC: The Critical Thinking Consortium, in press). Permission granted by The Critical Thinking Consortium for use by Alberta teachers.